Challenges in Risk Identification and Management in Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) within the Civil Aviation Industry

David Alania

Georgian Aviation University

Ketevan Dedopali Ave. 16, 0144, Tbilisi, Georgia

Abstract: *This research seeks to investigate the challenges of risk identification and, subsequently, risk management within the health and safety framework as related to OSH in the civil aviation industry. Aviation operations are rather fast-moving and complex; therefore, the present study has sought to identify organizations in hazard identification and deploying risk management strategies. This paper thus attempts to develop a case study that would underpin the complex interaction between human, technology, training, and regulation issues impeding effective OSH practices within the aviation industry. Finally, the findings of the study shed light on proactive and integrated strategies in managing risks that ensure workers' safety without compromising efficiency in production.*

Research findings: *Incomplete hazard recognition because of the dynamism present in the inherent work situations, cognitive biases in risk perception, communication disconnects across functions, and rapid technological changes are some of the main difficulties concerning identification and management in Civil Aviation. In turn, all these problems receive significant accentuation from inadequacies related to training, compliance with regulations, and incident reporting systems. The research underlines comprehensive and proactive OSH risk management across departments, improved communication tools, and permanent safety training. The analysis calls for these concerns to be addressed in order to improve the aviation industry's safety regarding health at work and compliance with updated security rules.*

Keywords: *Occupational Safety and Health (OSH), Civil Aviation, Risk Identification, Risk Management, Hazard Recognition, Human Factors in Aviation Safety, Cognitive Bias, Communication Gaps, Technological Risks, Automation in Aviation, Cybersecurity in Aviation, Regulatory Compliance, Safety Training, Incident Reporting, Near Miss Reporting, Aviation Safety Standards, Worker Safety, Dynamic Risk Management, Proactive Safety Strategies, Cross-Departmental Collaboration, Safety Culture in Aviation.*

The problem

The current research solved the very important Challenges of a lack of effective identification and management of risks within the OSH framework in the civil aviation sector. Although the industry is highly regulated with strong safety control in place, there are still significant gaps to be filled as far as the kinds of risk recognition and control that apply to all types of workers-from a man loading at ground level to a pilot in flight. In addition, risk management is an unenviably demanding task because of the increasingly complex and dynamic work environment within organizations, innate human cognitive biases, poor communication between departments, and new technologies being rapidly introduced into practice. This is further compounded by a shortage of the proper training, incident reporting systems, and adherence to regulation. The research will be a study of issues and their analysis on broader spectrum,

which will result in finding solutions to those problems. These may help increase OSH practices and enhance worker safety as well as operational efficiency within the civil aviation industry.

Introduction

Safety is the most important issue in aviation industry because it is a very dynamic and high-risk environment to work, so having right people on board is the most crucial. Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) in aviation operations, one of the fields intended to keep workers from accidents, has an essential role. Nevertheless, due to the complexity of the sector as well as its regulatory requirements and technological developments that rapidly arise, it can be particularly difficult to identify and manage such risks. Understanding key issues and areas for intervention to promote greater focus on risk identification and management in OSH-related matters in the civil aviation industry is lacking.

Civil aviation is much more than flying. It starts from aircraft maintenance to ground handling, air traffic control, and in-flight services. These are broad areas, and each spectrum has specific risks that may imply comprehensive risk identification as challenging.

Aviation, as an industry, has a lot of job roles: pilots, maintenance personnel, baggage handlers-even administrative staff. Such variability in the roles raises a question if a single response in risk management can address all kinds of hazards. For instance, the risks for a pilot are not at all similar to the ones of the ground crew's worker who is exposed to aircraft refueling.

Aviation operations are conducted in dynamic environmental conditions, such as the weather, operational schedules and aircraft maintenance deployment. Above all, the variability of those factors brings new and constantly changing risks that are difficult to predict, making risk identification even harder.

Effectively, this relates to human factors in civil aviation where the decision made is like an express train dividing between operational and safety directions. Human errors rooted in cognitive biases impeding accurate risk assessments, or failure to identify all hazards.

Experience also refers to after a worker is put to work in a hazardous environment for so long, one tends to overlook certain risks or falls into the false illusion that because no incidents occurred before, they are unlikely to happen again. It normalizes away the important safety hazards, like small procedural shortcuts in maintenance or flight operations.

People who do jobs very frequently, like baggage handlers or ground crew, can become complacent about safety. And the worst is that, due to their repetitive nature, what seems superficially like low risks at work-in incidents or near misses not being reported adequately and/or properly logged into a risk management system, which are quantitatively worse than others-that subverts the entire identification process.

The proper communication to be maintained between various sections involved-pilots, air traffic controller (ATC), ground employees, and maintenance staff-is also required for effective risk management in civil aviation. But the same silos can cause communication gaps that create fragmented risk identification and mitigation.

Civil aviation organizations are groups of such activities or silos, where each team has little knowledge or understanding about the risks faced by other teams. Alternatively, for example, ground crews may be unaware of risks in the cockpit, but pilots can be unaware of the hazards when it comes to

aircraft maintenance. This siloed approach to risk management can mean that key risks go unseen or unmanaged.

Reporting: Despite there being regulatory frameworks for civil aviation, reporting practices are inconsistent. Since the employees can use different systems or protocols to report hazards, it becomes difficult to collate the data and conduct a comprehensive risk evaluation. This inconsistency can ultimately result in significant delays in identifying core safety risks or deficiencies.

The rapid rate of evolution of technology in civil aviation is creating new hazards that are either unknown or challenging to manage, especially when set against the more traditional risks and/or historical developments for departing from tried and tested systems or processes with valid risk awareness.

Although automation has significantly increased efficiency in aviation operations, it also shifted new vulnerabilities toward risk maturities. Workers may over-rely on automated systems and thereby lose dynamism or the art of manually operating. Automated systems introduce new, unforeseen risks due to errors in the design, operation, or maintenance of that system.

Other threats include that cyber security is an issue that is increasingly important for civil aviation since systems both onboard aircraft and on the ground are becoming increasingly digitized; furthermore, a cyberattack could put communication systems, navigation aids, or safety-critical functions in peril. These risks are often overlooked by traditional OSH frameworks, which many times focus on physical hazards.

Aviation is one of the most regulated industries in the world for good reason: extremely high standards regarding safety are implemented through organizations such as the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) and national airworthiness authorities. On the other hand, because of the very nature of the regulatory environment, identifying risks and then managing such risks effectively is a challenge.

While safety is key and regulations are necessary, they pile up fast and can become much for some organizations to swallow. In such situations, small airlines or ground service providers' challenges of fully complying with all regulations may arise and result in incomplete risk assessments and lack of implementation of some safety measures.

Reactive, Not Proactive Compliance: Sometimes an organization fulfills what is required of them in terms of a system, i.e., OSH standards, rather than it being used as a tool for managing risk from health and safety aspects. This could be because of a reactive mindset wherein you identify risks only when incidents have already happened instead of preventing it from happening. Rest Controller really gives more control over the HTTP consumes and exposes.

Training and awareness: All OSH programs, but most importantly those operating in high-hazard environments like the civil aviation industry, require an educational component. Reality is such that without good training, or no training at all, a) our capability for risk identification and management will be less than it could be; b) we increase our chances of being caught out.

The new system itself, of course, has its deficiencies: many aviation workers, especially in less technical positions, might not be trained to identify the kind of risks they are putting themselves in danger for. For example, ground handlers are probably not trained with full comprehension of manual handling ergonomic risk while lifting heavy bags. Similarly, cabin crew will probably be ignorant of all the risks involved during turbulence while operating in-flight.

Fast Turnover and Contractor Workforce: Most employees in aviation change jobs fairly frequently; most ground operations and airport services are provided on either a temporary or contractual basis.

Contingent workers also tend to receive less safety training than full-time workers, which increases the likelihood of accidents and hazards being overlooked.

Apart from training all the workers in the basics of security, collecting incidents and near miss's data is an attribute for risk management. However, such underreporting is at large in civil aviation as well as other sectors.

Anxieties about penalties or blame may lead to employee silence regarding hazard reporting and incident reporting (near misses), too. This fear, of falling afoul of the law themselves, can mean that there are vital safety risks going unreported, plus further unnoticed.

Even when employees would be willing to report incidents, deficiencies or unwieldy reporting systems discourage comprehensive documentation of the risks. If reporting is not easy or reports are not followed up well, the effort of risk management is weakened, since such valuable data is not applied for improvements.

Conclusion

The aviation industry works in a highly complex and risk-laden environment, so Occupational Safety and Health are integral to operational safety. The current study has identified incomplete hazard identification, human cognitive biases, information fragmentation, and technological changes as the main challenges to identifying and managing risks in this industry. These problems not only threaten worker safety but also can be disruptive to operational efficiency and compliance with aviation safety standards.

The first important problem is the inability to fully identify and mitigate all the specific hazards that exist within civil aviation. The second but closely linked problem is the dynamic character of the industry, since conditions change rapidly, bringing new risks in which a minor failure is often overlooked. Also, cognitive biases such as normalization of deviance or complacency in routine tasks further complicate accurate risk identification. These human factors distort the perception of risk and lead to underreporting of near misses or hazards, undermining the effectiveness of safety management systems.

A further key factor is the lack of efficient communication and coordination between various departments. Silos in civil aviation organizations impose limits on the sharing of critical safety information across teams at ground operations, maintenance crews, and flight personnel. In the absence of an appropriately integrated approach to risk communication, key insights about safety might be missed-leading to risks not managed in time or responses to identified hazards being delayed.

While technology provides opportunities, it also creates challenges for civil aviation risk management. Automation and digitalization improved the efficiency of operations, but created new points of failure like heavy reliance on automated systems or weaknesses in cybersecurity. Now that the wonders of digitization are growing from multiple fronts, it is now high time to develop and implement enablers across industry-wide frameworks of risk management for wider avenues.

A general lack of training-namely, in temporary and contract workers-further muddles the waters regarding who has responsibility for OSH risk management. Not having minimum standard or standard safety training across the workforce increases the odds for accidents: if workers are not properly informed about the dangers of their jobs and proper safety procedures to avoid them, mistakes will usually happen.

The findings of the study reveal that a comprehensive and focused initiative from the national level would be of essence for improving OSH risk management within civil aviation. Such, it will go on with constant updating of any risk assessment and mitigation of human cognitive biases by enhancing safety

culture and communication across levels of the organization. Similarly, due to the high-risk nature of some roles and the regular churn in contractor or temporary labor, there is an essential need for continued safety training. These issues can only be resolved by having a deep-seated, safety-first culture and investing money and resources in making those safety efforts a reality.

In a similar vein, regulatory bodies have to raise their game of guidance and enforcement of improved safety frameworks that will keep up with the accelerated rate of change brought in by technologies to our skies. The regulatory environment should move from being reactive to proactive compliance-by design, it should anticipate and manage risk well before they manifest tangibly, rather than reacting in the aftermath of incidents.

Conclusion Improving OSH risk identification and management within civil aviation requires an integrated approach that is adaptive to the changing nature of hazards within the industry. This research speaks to the necessity for addressing human factors, technological risks, communication gaps, and training deficiencies in developing an appropriate OSH strategy. Addressing these various challenges enables a civil aviation organization to ensure increased worker safety while sustaining operational efficiency and compliance with global safety standards that collectively improve safety within the aviation industry.

References:

[1] - ICAO (International Civil Aviation Organization). (2018). Safety Management Manual (SMM), 4th Edition. Doc 9859, AN/474;

[2] - Dekker, S. (2014). The Field Guide to Understanding 'Human Error'. CRC Press, 3rd Edition;

[3] - Hale, A., & Hovden, J. (1998). Management and culture: The third age of safety. A review of approaches to organizational aspects of safety, health, and environment. Safety Science, 31(1), 231–257;

[4] - Rasmussen, J. (1997). Risk management in a dynamic society: a modelling problem. Safety Science, 27(2-3), 183-213;

[5] - Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA). (2022). Occupational Health and Safety Risk Management Guidelines for the Aviation Industry. Available at: https://www.casa.gov.au;

[6] - Adams, J.G., & Revans, R.W. (2019). Safety Culture in Aviation: Risk Identification and Mitigation Practices. Journal of Safety Research, 70, 76-85;

[7] - Leveson, N.G. (2011). Engineering a Safer World: Systems Thinking Applied to Safety. MIT Press;

[8] - Shannon, H.S., Mayr, J., & Haines, T. (1997). Overview of the Relationship between Organizational and Workplace Factors and Injury Rates. Safety Science, 24(3), 201-215;

[9] - Reason, J. (1997). Managing the Risks of Organizational Accidents. Ashgate Publishing;

[10] - Su, Z., McDonnell, D., & Ahmad, J. (2022). Risk Management and Occupational Safety in Aviation Industry: Challenges and Opportunities. Journal of Air Transport Management, 92, 102039;

[11] - https://www.easa.europa.eu/domains/safety-management/safety-risk-management.